



John J. Doyle Elementary School

1045 East Orange Avenue • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7140 • Grades K-6

JR Ortiz, Principal

uortiz@portervilleschools.org

<http://doyle.portervilleschools.org/>

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave.
Porterville, CA 93257
(559) 793-2400
portervilleschools.org

District Governing Board

Hayley Buettner
Pete Lara, Jr.
Pat Contreras
Sharon Gill
David DePaoli
Felipe Martinez
Lillian Durbin

District Administration

John Snavely, Ed.D.
Superintendent
Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.

**Assistant Superintendent
Business Services**

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services**

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources**

School Description

Principal's Message

Welcome to our John J. Doyle School Accountability Report Card. Here at Doyle our mission is to provide opportunities and experiences that will create successful students. You will discover that our entire staff is committed in providing a safe and nurturing environment that challenges our students to do their best and learn. We are dedicated in ensuring that all students are equipped with the 21st century skills and knowledge that are necessary to be successful now and in the future. Along with academic excellence, we believe it is equally important to develop and practice the six pillars of character: TRUSTWORTHINESS, RESPECT, RESPONSIBILITY, FAIRNESS, CARING, AND CITIZENSHIP. Parents, Staff, Students, Community, and Administrators all play a crucial role in the successful development of students. I look forward to working with all of you, as we make our students' education our highest priority.

School Mission Statement

John J. Doyle Elementary is a safe and respectful community of 21st century learners. We will provide outstanding learning experiences, both individually and collectively to prepare our students for college and career.

Community & School Profile

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

During the 2014-15 school year, 683 students were enrolled at the school. Student demographics are displayed in the chart.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (559) 782-7140 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	132
Grade 1	100
Grade 2	94
Grade 3	76
Grade 4	96
Grade 5	91
Grade 6	94
Total Enrollment	683

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.3
Asian	0.3
Hispanic or Latino	92.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6
White	5.9
Two or More Races	0.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	99.1
English Learners	61.3
Students with Disabilities	3.2
Foster Youth	1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
John J. Doyle Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	28	28	30
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Porterville Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	622
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	26
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	16

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
John J. Doyle Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.0	5.0
High-Poverty Schools	95.0	5.0
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 10, 201 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in August 2015, regarding textbooks in use during the 2015-16 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: August 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2003 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Mathematics	McGraw Hill Adopted 2015 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Science	Glencoe Adopted 2006 Harcourt Adopted 2001 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Foreign Language	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Health	Harcourt Adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Visual and Performing Arts	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Science Laboratory Equipment	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Doyle School, originally constructed in 1941, is currently comprised of 26 classrooms (including portables), a library, two computer labs, one staff lounge, a multi-purpose room, one large playground, a basketball court, the main office, and a Child Care Center.

The most recent renovations to the campus occurred 2008-09 and included a new building which houses six new classrooms, four student restrooms, and two staff bathrooms. Rooms 10, 11, and 12 were also renovated in 2008-09 to include new flooring and paint. In addition, Rooms 7, 8, and 9 are in the process of new construction. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in September 2015.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 9/9/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
Electrical: Electrical	X				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				room 12 drinking fountain not working properly
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
		X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	22.80	25.00	16.30

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	17	29	44
Math	15	19	33

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	37
All Student at the School	14
Male	16
Female	13
Hispanic or Latino	12
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	--
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	2
Students with Disabilities	14
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	12
Foster Youth	--

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	33	24	14	43	42	37	59	60	56

* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	81	77	95.1	49	32	14	4
	4	94	94	100.0	68	19	9	4
	5	94	93	98.9	52	25	22	2
	6	95	95	100.0	57	28	13	2
Male	3	81	40	49.4	55	25	13	8
	4	94	51	54.3	76	18	4	2
	5	94	32	34.0	59	25	13	3
	6	95	52	54.7	62	25	10	4
Female	3	81	37	45.7	43	41	16	0
	4	94	43	45.7	58	21	14	7
	5	94	61	64.9	48	25	26	2
	6	95	43	45.3	51	33	16	0
Asian	3	81	1	1.2	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	81	71	87.7	48	35	14	3
	4	94	90	95.7	70	18	8	4
	5	94	88	93.6	51	26	20	2
	6	95	85	89.5	58	28	12	2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	94	1	1.1	--	--	--	--
	5	94	1	1.1	--	--	--	--
	6	95	1	1.1	--	--	--	--
White	3	81	5	6.2	--	--	--	--
	4	94	3	3.2	--	--	--	--
	5	94	4	4.3	--	--	--	--
	6	95	9	9.5	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	81	76	93.8	49	33	14	4
	4	94	94	100.0	68	19	9	4
	5	94	92	97.9	51	25	22	2
	6	95	92	96.8	55	29	13	2
English Learners	3	81	38	46.9	55	29	11	5
	4	94	54	57.4	87	9	4	0
	5	94	48	51.1	71	23	6	0
	6	95	28	29.5	89	11	0	0
Students with Disabilities	3	81	3	3.7	--	--	--	--
	4	94	2	2.1	--	--	--	--
	5	94	3	3.2	--	--	--	--
	6	95	7	7.4	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3	81	13	16.0	31	38	31	0
	4	94	27	28.7	70	26	4	0
	5	94	25	26.6	48	32	20	0
	6	95	26	27.4	58	15	23	4
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	81	77	95.1	48	27	23	1
	4	94	93	98.9	54	32	11	3
	5	94	93	98.9	72	19	6	2
	6	95	95	100.0	57	28	11	4
Male	3	81	40	49.4	48	30	20	3
	4	94	51	54.3	53	39	6	2
	5	94	32	34.0	72	16	6	6
	6	95	52	54.7	67	15	12	6
Female	3	81	37	45.7	49	24	27	0
	4	94	42	44.7	55	24	17	5
	5	94	61	64.9	72	21	7	0
	6	95	43	45.3	44	44	9	2
Asian	3	81	1	1.2	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3	81	71	87.7	46	28	25	0
	4	94	90	95.7	53	32	11	3
	5	94	88	93.6	73	19	6	2
	6	95	85	89.5	58	28	11	4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	94	1	1.1	--	--	--	--
	5	94	1	1.1	--	--	--	--
	6	95	1	1.1	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
White	3	81	5	6.2	--	--	--	--
	4	94	2	2.1	--	--	--	--
	5	94	4	4.3	--	--	--	--
	6	95	9	9.5	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	81	76	93.8	47	28	24	1
	4	94	93	98.9	54	32	11	3
	5	94	92	97.9	72	20	7	2
	6	95	92	96.8	55	29	11	4
English Learners	3	81	38	46.9	58	24	18	0
	4	94	54	57.4	78	17	4	2
	5	94	48	51.1	85	13	2	0
	6	95	28	29.5	86	7	7	0
Students with Disabilities	3	81	3	3.7	--	--	--	--
	4	94	2	2.1	--	--	--	--
	5	94	3	3.2	--	--	--	--
	6	95	7	7.4	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3	81	13	16.0	38	31	31	0
	4	94	27	28.7	48	41	11	0
	5	94	25	26.6	68	24	4	4
	6	95	26	27.4	50	27	15	8
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs at John J. Doyle Elementary. Parents are encouraged to attend our quarterly School Site Council and English Learner Advisory Committee meetings. The Parent -Teacher participation plays an active role through assistance of fundraising and special activities, including chaperoning field trips, school carnivals, school events, and volunteering in the classroom.

Contributions by the following community partners have added to the number of programs available at Doyle School: Target Store, Wal-Mart Store and Distribution, 20 Ands Club, Porterville Junior Fair Board, El Granito Foundation, Porterville High School, Granite Hills High School, and private individuals.

Contact Information

Parents who wish to participate in the school's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (559) 782-7140.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of John J. Doyle Elementary School. Administrators and teachers supervise students on campus before school, after school, and during recess. All visitors must sign in at the office and receive proper authorization to be on campus, visitor’s badge must be displayed at all times. For the safety of our students, all volunteers are fingerprinted and cleared through the Department of Justice. Appointments are made with the school secretary.

The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in spring 2014 by the Safety Committee. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis; fire drills, and lockdown drills are conducted throughout the school year.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	3.33	1.73	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.91	5.95	5.91
Expulsions Rate	0.25	0.64	0.34
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	No	Yes

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2006-2007
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		16
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		80.0

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
K	29	30	26				4	4	5			
1	29	29	24				3	4	4			
2	27	29	23				4	3	4			
3	29	29	25				3	4	3			
4	34	32	32					3	3	3		
5	30	31	30				3	3	3			
6	34	31	31					3	3	3		
Other			8			1						

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	1
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	1
Other	6
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

The district has designated 7 early release days for professional development. During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. In addition, staff members are encouraged and supported to take additional workshops offered throughout the year to build their instructional capacity to increase student achievement. Finally, Porterville Unified School District has partnered with WestEd to assist with additional professional development.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,580	\$43,062
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,824	\$67,927
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,004	\$87,811
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$143,127	\$110,136
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$134,920	\$115,946
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$142,109	\$124,865
Superintendent Salary	\$192,148	\$211,869
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	37%	39%
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency
- Title VII, Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,949	\$1,410	\$4,539	\$67,877
District	♦	♦	\$6,336	\$71,239
State	♦	♦	\$5,348	\$71,529
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-28.4	-3.5
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-3.2	-2.1

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.